

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jury demand date:

D. C. Form No. 106A Rev.

8

TITLE OF CASE		ATTORNEYS			
<p>JACK D. SHAMBRA, SAMUEL TAYLOR, ARLINGTON HANEY, CALVIN PARKER AND FRANK THOMAS</p> <p>vs</p> <p>BROTHERHOOD OF RAILWAY AND STEAMSHIP CLERKS, FREIGHT HANDLERS, EXPRESS AND STATION EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO, an unincorporated association; St. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY, a Missouri corporation; St. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY, a Missouri corporation doing business as Tulsa Union Depot Company, a Delaware corporation; and TULSA UNION DEPOT COMPANY, a Delaware corporation,</p>		<p>For plaintiff:</p> <p>Glenn R. Beustring Kothe & Eagleton 204 Philtower Building Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103</p> <p>For defendant:</p> <p>Robert F. Biolchini (for Frisco) 1200 Atlas Life Bldg., Tulsa, Okla. 74103 Mulholland, Hickey & Lyman 620 Tower Building Washington, D. C. 20005 Hall & Sublett, 905 NBT Bldg., Tulsa</p>			
STATISTICAL RECORD	COSTS	DATE	NAME OR RECEIPT NO.	REC.	DISB.
J.S. 5 mailed 9-2-69	Clerk	1969 8-12	Beustring	15 00	
J.S. 6 mailed 5-1-70	Marshal	8-14-69	C/D 7		15 00
Basis of Action: Breach of contract	Docket fee				
	Witness fees				
Action arose at: Tulsa	Depositions				

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
8-12-69	Complaint filed and summons issued. ds	
8-19-69	Return on service of summons filed. Served J.E. Gilliland, Pres., Tulsa Union Depot, at St. Louis, Missouri, care of, and at his insistence, Mr. J.J. Burns, Asst. to the Pres. on 8-14-69.h(USM\$3.00)h	
8 26-69	Return on summons filed. Served St. Louis-San Fran. RR Co. and Tulsa Union Depot by serving service agent, Ben Franklin, at Oklahoma City, Okla, on 8-18-69. \$8.00) ds	
8 26 69	Return on summons filed. Served Brotherhood of RR & Steamship Clerks by serving Mr. Donlan, General Counsel, at Cincinnati, Ohio on 8-19-69. (4.00) ds	
9-3-69	Application and Order allowing/Defendants Extension of Time to September 18, 1969 in which to plead or answer Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.(MME-b)h (Extension granted St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Co.,etc., and Tulsa Union Depot Co.)	
9-5-69	Application for extension of time, by defendant, Brotherhood of RR and Steamship Clerks, et al, for extension of time to plead, filed. ds	
9-5-69	Order allowing defendant, Brotherhood of RR & Steamship Clerks, et al, to 9-23-69 , to plead or answer, filed. ds	
9-17-69	Application for enlargement of time for defendants, St. Louis-San Francisco RR Co, and Tulsa Union Depot Co., filed. ds	
9-18-69	Order granting defendants St. Louis-San Francisco RR Co. and St. Louis-San Francisco RR Co. dba Tulsa Union Depot Co. until October 18, 1969, in which to answer, filed. g (AEB-J)g	
9-18-69	Application of defendant, Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employees, AFL-CIO, for extension of time to plead or answer, filed. b	
9-18-69	Order extending time for Brotherhood of RR and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employees, AFL-CIO, to 10-18-69, filed. ds <i>copy submitted to attorney</i>	
10-17-69	Motion of deft. Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks to dismiss the complaint or, in the alternative, to grant S/J, filed.m	
10-17-69	Memorandum of points and authorities of deft. Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks in support of above motion, filed. m	
10-20-69	Motion for S/J of defts. St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Co. and Tulsa Union Depot Company, filed. m	
10-20-69	Brief in support of above motion for S/J, filed. m	
10-20-69	Motion to dismiss of defts. St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Co. and Tulsa Union Depot Company, filed. m	
10=20-69	Brief in support of above motion to dismiss, filed. m	
1-9-70	Response to defendants Carrier's and Brotherhood's motion to dismiss and in the alternative, for summary judgment, filed. ds	
1-19-70	Brief of defendants (Reply) Brotherhood of Railway and Airline Clerks to brief of plaintiffs opposing motion to dismiss the complaint or, in the alternative, for summary judgment, filed. b	
3-19-70	Reply brief of defts. St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Co. & Tulsa Union Depot Co. in response to pltf's' brief opposing defts' motion to dismiss and defts' motion for S/J, filed. m	
4-23-70	Order sustaining defendants' motions for summary judgment, filed and entered. (AEB-J) ds Judgment entered in favor of defendants abd against plaintiffs. <i>copy submitted to attorney</i>	